

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

PROJECT MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM

Contract No. DTFT60-04-D-00012

Project No. DC-27-5006

Task Order No. 3

Grantee: METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
SECOND AVENUE SUBWAY (MTACC-SAS)

REGION II MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECTS

Monthly Report – Part I February 2008

Urban Engineers of New York, P.C.
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6024
New York, New York 10118

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

SECTION III SECOND AVENUE SUBWAY PROJECT

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	I
LIST OF ACRONYMS	II
I EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	1
A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	1
B. PROJECT STATUS	1
C. SCHEDULE.....	1
D. COST DATA.....	3
E. TECHNICAL CAPACITY REVIEW	4
F. SYSTEM SAFETY REVIEW.....	4
G. MAJOR ISSUES/PROBLEMS	4
ATTACHMENT A - SAFETY CHECKLIST SECOND AVENUE SUBWAY	5
II. ACTION ITEMS – ITEMS FOR GRANTEE ACTION	8
III. OBSERVATIONS AND CURRENT ISSUES.....	11
ATTACHMENT B - SUMMARY OF CONCERNS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	23

TABLES

Table 1 – Project Milestones.....	13
Table 2 – Cost and Schedule Summary	22

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AWO	Additional Work Order
CSI	Construction Standards Institute
DHA	DMJM+Harris and ARUP
ESWA	Early Systems Work Agreement
FD	Final Design
FEIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement
FFGA	Full Funding Grant Agreement
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
MEP	Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing
MTA	Metropolitan Transportation Authority
MTACC	Metropolitan Transportation Authority – Capital Construction
N/A	Not Applicable
NYCT	New York City Transit
PE	Preliminary Engineering
PMOC	Project Management Oversight Contractor (Urban Engineers)
PMP	Project Management Plan
PQM	Project Quality Manual
RAMP	Real Estate Acquisition Management Plan
ROD	Record of Decision
SAS	Second Avenue Subway
SCC	Standard Construction Categories
SSMP	Safety and Security Management Plan
SSOA	State Safety Oversight Agency
SSPP	System Safety Program Plan
TBD	To Be Determined

SECTION III SECOND AVENUE SUBWAY (SAS) PROJECT

I EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A. Project Description

- **General Description:** The Second Avenue Subway (SAS) project will connect Manhattan's Central Harlem area with the downtown financial district, relieving congested conditions on the Lexington Avenue line. The current project scope includes: tunneling; station/ancillary facilities; track, signal, and electrical work; vehicle procurement; and all other subway systems necessary for operation. The project consists of four phases, with Phase 1 providing an Initial Operating Segment (IOS) from 96th Street to 63rd Street, and will connect with the existing Broadway Line that extends to Lower Manhattan and Brooklyn. Subsequent phases will extend the line northward to 125th Street and to the southern terminus at Hanover Square in Lower Manhattan.
- **Length:** Phase 1 - 2.3 miles from 63rd Street to 105th Street. Total Project - 8.5 miles from 125th Street and Lexington Avenue in Central Harlem to Lower Manhattan.
- **No. of Stations:** Total Project -16 new stations. Phase 1-two new mined stations located at 72nd and 86th Streets, One new cut and cover station at 96th Street, and modification of the existing 63rd Street Station on the Broadway Line.
- **Additional Facilities:** New storage tracks will be provided along the alignment and at the north and south terminals during the later phases of the project, but not as part of Phase 1.
- **Vehicles:** Total Project - Approximately 224 railcars that are 75 feet long (28 new 8-car train sets). Phase 1 - 68 new rail cars (includes 12 spares).
- **Ridership Forecast:** The full length SAS is forecast to carry 560,000 daily riders in 2030. Upon completion of Phase 1, ridership is expected to be 191,000 per the MTA's Regional Travel Forecast Model.

B. Project Status

- The project is in the Final Design/Construction phase.

C. Schedule

- **Preliminary Engineering (PE):** Entry into PE was approved by FTA on December 20, 2001; PE completed April 17, 2006.
- **Record of Decision (ROD):** Record of Decision issued on July 8, 2004.
- **Final Design (FD):** Entry into FD (Phase 1) was approved by FTA on April 18 2006.
- **Full Funding Grant Agreement (FFGA):** The FFGA was executed on November 19, 2007.

- **Construction:** The start of the Construction Phase was authorized with the approval of an Early Systems Work Agreement (ESWA) on January 5, 2007. The first construction contract, Contract 1 Tunnel Boring, was awarded on March 20, 2007. A Ground-Breaking ceremony was held on April 12, 2007. Through *February 29, 2008* construction is *2.06%* complete based on total expenditures of contract 1 versus total projected construction cost (all contracts) at completion.
- **Project Complete:** Through *February 29, 2008*, total Phase 1 Project percent complete is *9.7%* (based on total expenditures versus Phase 1 projected cost at completion)
- **Revenue Operations Date:**

Phase 1 (MOS)

FFGA	Proposed FFGA as Amended	Forecast Completion		Actual Completion
		Grantee	PMO	
June 30, 2014	N/A	<i>June 2015 (1)</i>	<i>TBD</i>	TBD

(1) Proposed MTA 2008-2013 Capital Program dated February 2008

- **Other:** The Environmental Impact Statement was approved on April 8, 2004.
- **Next Quarterly Review Meeting:** *May 2008*

D. Cost Data for Phase 1

	FFGA			FFGA Amend ments	Current Costs Estimate at Completion		Expenditures Thru <i>February 29, 2008</i>	
	(\$ Millions) (1)	(%)	Obligated		(\$ Millions) (2)	(%)	(\$ Millions)	Percent of Current Project Cost
Total Project Cost:	\$4,866.614	100		N/A	\$4,866.614	100	<i>\$472.541</i>	<i>9.71</i>
Total Federal share:	1,350.692	27.75			1,350.692	-	<i>39.682</i>	<i>0.75</i>
Total FTA share:	1,300.000	96.25			1,300.000	-		
5309 New Starts share	1,300.000	96.25			1,300.000	-		
Total FHWA share:	50.692	3.75			50.692	-		
CMAQ	48.233	95.15			0	-		
Special Highway Appropriation	2.459	4.85			2.460	-		
Total Local share:	3,515.922	72.25			3,515.922		<i>432.859</i>	<i>8.96</i>
State share:	450.000	12.80			-			
Agency share:	3,065.922	87.20			-			
City share:	0	0			-			

(1) Updated to reflect Attachment 6 (Schedule of Federal Funds) from SAS FFGA

(2) Financial data provided monthly by MTACC.

The FFGA budget included a contingency of \$629.0 million. The project contingency is distributed as follows:

- AFI's and AWO's (Design, Pre-bid and construction) - \$ 441 million.
- Project Reserve - \$ 160 million
- Real Estate - \$ 17 million
- Rolling Stock - \$ 11 million

The Project Reserve contingency of \$160 million was developed at the 2007 Risk Workshops but has not been allocated to date.

E. Technical Capacity Review

- Project Management Plan (PMP): Updated PMP (Revision 5) for the final design/construction phase of the project was conditionally approved by the FTA on March 22, 2007. *Updated PMP (Revision 6) is anticipated in March 2008.*
- Project Quality Manual (PQM) Plan: Updated PQM (Revision 2) for the final design/construction phase of the project was approved by the FTA on March 28, 2007.
- Bus Fleet Management Plan (BFMP): Updated BFMP dated February 2007 was conditionally accepted by the FTA in May 2007.
- Rail Fleet Management Plan (RFMP): Updated RFMP conditionally approved by the FTA on April 24, 2007.

F. System Safety Review

- The New York State Public Transportation Safety Board (PTSB), the State Safety Oversight Agency (SSOA), re-certified NYCT's System Safety Program Plan (SSPP) on March 15, 2006 to the revised 49 CFR Part 659 requirements. *Biennial submittals were received by the PTSB in February 2008 and re-certification is anticipated in May 2008.*

G. Major Issues/Problems

- *As a result of an internal review the MTA is projecting a 12-month slip in the Revenue Operations date for SAS Phase 1 from June 2014 to June 2015. The validity of the slip has not been substantiated since an updated integrated schedule has not been developed and made available to the PMOC. A detailed cost estimate reflecting the projected slip in the Revenue Operations Date has not been developed and made available to the PMOC.*

Attachment A - Safety Checklist - Second Avenue Subway

Areas of Focus	Y/N	Status
State Safety Oversight Agency		
Does the state have a designated State Safety Oversight Agency (SSOA) as defined in 49 CFR Part 659?	Y	New York Public Transportation Safety Board.
If so, does the SSOA's authority extend to pre-revenue operations?	Y	Yes, All Phases.
Has the SSOA established its System Safety Program Standards (SSPS)?	Y	Standards are based on the requirements of 49 CFR Part 659.
Has the SSOA received, reviewed, and approved the Grantee's System Safety Program Plan (SSPP)?	Y	SSPP re-certified on March 15, 2006 per the revised 49 CFR Part 659 requirements. <i>Biennial certification is anticipated in May 2008.</i>
Does SSOA participate in Project Development? Participation includes things such as: review design documents; attend review meetings; and comment on the how the safety aspects of the project are being addressed.	Y	Reference section 2.2.7 of the SAS System Safety & Reliability Plan.
Has the SSOA performed a pre-revenue safety review of the Grantee's project?	N	No
System Safety		
Is the Grantee's overall Safety Program properly documented in its Project Management Plan (PMP)?	Y	See PMP Section 3
Do the Grantee's PMP and associated Safety Program include an appropriate safety policy adopted by its top management?	Y	By approving plan
Do the Grantee's PMP and associated Safety Program establish a specific organizational entity and/or individual responsible for the Safety Program?	Y	See PMP

Attachment A - Safety Checklist - Second Avenue Subway

Areas of Focus	Y/N	Status
Do the Grantee's PMP and associated Safety Program specify staffing requirements, procedures and authority for the safety activities?	Y	See PMP Section 3
Do the Grantee's PMP and associated Safety Program include a formal Safety Certification Program (SCP)?	Y	See PMP and SAS System Safety & Reliability Plan.
Do the Grantee's PMP and associated Safety Program include the development/use of a Safety Design Criteria Manual (DCM) or equivalent documents?	Y	See DCM Chapter 26 and SAS System Safety & Reliability Plan.
Has the Grantee developed and the SSOA approved the Grantee's SSPP? What is the status of this process between the Grantee and SSOA?	Y	SSPP re-certified on March 15, 2006 per the revised 49 CFR Part 659 requirements. <i>Biennial certification is anticipated in May 2008.</i>
Is the Grantee implementing its Safety Program as defined in the PMP? Are the safety milestones being met? (Note: This assumes that the Safety Program is properly documented in the PMP.)	Y	See Project Schedule
Construction Safety		
Is the Grantee's Construction Safety Program (CSP) documented in the PMP?	Y	Included in the Construction Phase PMP.
Has the Grantee implemented its CSP?	Y	CSP is on-going. Toolbox meetings are held to acquaint personnel with the safety requirements as defined in the General Specification. MTACC and contractor's safety personnel and the OCIP representative continue to monitor the site for compliance. Safety is discussed at each Job Progress Meeting.

Attachment A - Safety Checklist - Second Avenue Subway

Areas of Focus	Y/N	Status
How do the Grantee's OSHA statistics compare to the national average for the same type of work? If the comparison is not favorable, what actions are being taken by the Grantee to improve its safety record?	-	<i>Recordable incidents and lost time accidents are below the national averages.</i>
Is the Grantee using wrap-up insurance on this project?	Y	Owner Controlled Insurance Program (OCIP) has been implemented. Insurance coverage by Allied North America, administered by Project Technologies International, LLC.
Is the Grantee using safety incentives/disincentives on this project?	Y	Ongoing.
Shared Track		
Does this project have shared track?	N	
Has the Grantee coordinated with FRA regarding waivers for shared track usage?	N/A	
Shared Corridor		
Does this project include shared corridor? Please describe the geography of the shared corridor.	N	
What is the Grantee doing to specifically address safety concerns in the shared corridor portion of the project?	N/A	

II. ACTION ITEMS – ITEMS FOR GRANTEE ACTION

Status of Action Items

The status of Action Items is shown in the following summary chart.

Note: Items marked with a “C” in the “PMO Contractor Status” column will be dropped from future reports.

Key Item

2. XX PMO CLIN 5 – Project Management Plan

3. XX PMO CLIN 3 – Project Monitoring

Legend

Priority (Pr)	Grantee Action	PMO Contractor Status
1 – Most Critical	D – Remedial Action Developed	R – Review On-going
2 – Critical	A – Remedial Action Approved	C – Completed - No further review required
3 – Least Critical	I – Action Implemented	

Items for Action

Pr	Item	Identification	Nature of Problem	Grantee Action			Comments	Status
				D	A	I		
2	CLIN 003 Sub Task 12.a SAS-A01-1007	Rent Stabilization Plan	FTA/PMOC have no information for MTA's Plan for Rent Stabilization.	N	N	N	MTA provide FTA/PMOC their plan or policy for Rent Stabilization.	R
1	CLIN 003 Sub Task 12.a SAS-A02-0208	Real estate challenges associated with entrance 3 at 72 nd Street Station	Two challenges were filed (State and Federal courts) with regards to the proposed relocation of entrance 3 of the 72 nd Street Station. The challenge filed in Federal Court is under NEPA and names FTA, MTA, and DHA.	N	N	N	The FEIS identified the location of entrance 3 within a building. The proposed location is on the sidewalk of the northeast corner of 72 nd Street. MTA's Real Estate will coordinate efforts with legal representatives of FTA Region 2 to resolve this issue.	R
2	CLIN 003 Sub Task 12.a SAS-A03-0208	Real estate acquisition for 96 th Street Station	Real estate acquisition for the 96 th Street Station is 3 to 6 months behind schedule.	N	N	N	Assess strategy options regarding the possibility of awarding a portion of the 96 th Street Station due to the anticipated delay in the acquisition of the properties and submit to FTA.	R
2	CLIN 003 Sub Task 12.a SAS-A04-0208	Updated Integrated Project Schedule	Integrated Project Schedule does not reflect delays due to Contract 1 negotiated time extensions, Real Estate problems and Contract Repackaging.	N	N	N	The Integrated Project Schedule needs to be updated to reflect the Contract Packaging Plan, Contract 1 time extension, and 96 th Street real estate acquisition delays and challenges. Updates need to be	R

Pr	Item	Identification	Nature of Problem	Grantee Action			Comments	Status
				D	A	I		
							<i>performed timely and provided to the PMOC.</i>	
2	<i>CLIN 003 Sub Task 12.a SAS-A05-0208</i>	<i>Contract 1 east side utility relocation lessons learned.</i>	<i>Lessons Learned from the west side utility relocation will be used to benefit the east side utility relocation effort.</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Document the Lessons Learned from the west side utility relocation effort, submit to the FTA .and share with the other MTACC Major projects</i>	<i>R</i>
2	<i>CLIN 003 Sub Task 12.a SAS-A06-0208</i>	<i>Contract Packaging Plan</i>	<i>Having more contract packages as a result of splitting the existing contract packages into smaller packages will increase the time required to complete the project.</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>MTACC to get updated Contract Packaging plan to FTA's PMOC. Revs. 18 & 19 will be sent. This will be reflected in the updated schedule action item above.</i>	<i>R</i>
2	<i>CLIN 003 Sub Task 12.a SAS-A07-0208</i>	<i>Coordination with the BRT Project.</i>	<i>FTA reminded MTACC to coordinate their planning with the nearby BRT project.</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>The SAS Designers have been meeting with the BRT to coordinate their planning</i>	<i>R</i>
2	<i>CLIN 003 Sub Task 12.a SAS-A08-0207</i>	<i>Noise Level Compliance</i>	<i>MTACC's Acting President wants to make sure the SAS project complies with MTA standards for Noise Levels.</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>The Green Stickers for the equipment will be inspected.</i>	<i>R</i>
2	<i>CLIN 003 Sub Task 12.a SAS-A09-0207</i>	<i>Real estate appraisals for FTA review</i>	<i>Two property appraisals for the 96th Street Station (Rainbow Hardware and the Lumber Yard) were over \$2 million and require FTA review and approval.</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>Appraisals have been submitted to FTA for review and approval.</i>	<i>C</i>

III. OBSERVATIONS AND CURRENT ISSUES

A. GENERAL AGENCY ASSESSMENT

MTA Capital Construction (MTACC) Company is a public corporation with a specific mission to plan, design and construct major current and future MTA system expansion projects, including the Second Avenue Subway (SAS) project. MTACC has engaged the services of a design consultant, DMJM+Harris and ARUP (DHA), and a consultant construction management services firm, PB Americas, to assist in its management of the project by supplementing MTACC staff. MTA's Real Estate Department is taking the lead in the acquisition of property required for the project.

In establishing its 2008 to 2013 Capital Program Budget (subsequent to the FFGA), MTA conducted a 30-day review of its expansion projects currently underway (SAS Phase 1, ESA, Fulton Street Transit Center, and the South Ferry Subway Station). The review was completed in February 2008 and resulted in three major actions: completion dates will be extended to allow for smaller contracts thus avoiding cost escalation due to single bidders on big contracts; project budgets will be increased to reflect market conditions; and the exploration of ways to mitigate rising cost without compromising the transportation benefits of the projects will be ongoing. SAS Phase 1 was impacted in that the ROD was revised from June 2014 to June 2015. The PMOC could not substantiate the revised ROD because an updated integrated schedule has not been developed. The proposed 2008 to 2013 Capital Program Budget also shows a \$297 million increase in the total project cost. Details of the increase have not been provided or allocated to the SCCs. An updated cost estimated is needed.

B. BUDGET/COST STATUS

The MTACC has updated the SAS Project Phase 1 cost estimate to address the concerns noted during the 2007 Risk Assessment process. Adjustments were made to increase the unallocated contingency, real estate cost, and support an additional 6 months' float. The MTACC submitted the cost estimate and its various attachments to the FTA as required by the FFGA application. Total project cost was \$4,866,614,000 and was broken down into the Standard Cost Categories (SCC) as follows:

Standard Cost Category (SCC) #	Description	Year of Expenditure* (YOE) \$000
10	Guideway & Track elements	612,404
20	Stations, Stops, Terminals, Intermodal	1,092,836
30	Support Facilities: Yards, Shops, Admin Bldgs.	0
40	Site Work & Special Conditions	276,229
50	Systems	322,707
60	ROW, Land, Existing Improvements	240,960
70	Vehicles	152,999
80	Professional Services	796,311
90	Unallocated Contingency	555,554
Subtotal		4,050,000
Financing Cost		816,614
Total Project		4,866,614

**It is anticipated that the values in this table will change as a result of MTACC's recent review of the project and the Risk Assessment currently underway.*

Project Funding: On November 19, 2007, the FTA and MTA executed a FFGA in the amount of \$4,866,614,468, including finance costs. Total Federal participation is \$1,350,692,821 and Local participation is \$3,515,921,647. The table below lists the associated grants with respective appropriated and obligated amounts *as of February 29, 2008*.

Grant Number	Amount	Obligated (\$M)	Disbursement (\$M) thru February 2008
NY-03-0397	\$4,980,026	\$4,980,026	\$4,980,026
NY-03-0408	\$1,967,165	\$1,967,165	\$1,967,165
NY-03-0408-01	\$1,968,358	\$1,968,358	\$1,968,358
NY-03-0408-02	\$24,502,500	\$24,502,500	\$24,502,500
NY-03-0408-03	0	0	0
NY-03-0408-04	0	0	0
NY-17-X001-00	\$2,459,821	\$2,459,821	\$2,459,821
Total	\$35,877,870	\$35,877,870	\$35,877,870

Project Expenditures and Commitments: A total of \$472.541 million has been expended on the project through February 29, 2008, including \$5.455 million on the Manhattan East Side Access (ESA) study and related FEIS work during the 1995-1999 capital program. (See Table 2 at the back of this section.)

Project Cost Management and Control: MTACC continued to effectively monitor, control, and report project costs and expenditures. The PMOC will continue monitoring MTACC's Budget Control during the final design, construction, and test phases.

Change Orders: The table below shows the status of change orders for professional service, and construction contracts as of February 2008.

Professional Service/Construction	Total # of Approved Change Orders	Approved Change Orders	% of Award Value
DHA (Contract Mod.)	1	\$44,772.00	.01%
Contract 1 (C26002)	21 (2 credits)	\$1,411,800.00	.4%

C. PROJECT SCHEDULE STATUS

Table 1 identifies major project milestones established by the MTACC through award of the first construction contract. *SAS Project Phase 1 Integrated Schedule, Revision 2.5.1 updates #18 and #19 have been finalized but not yet made available to the PMOC. The PMOC has been informed that update #20 is in progress. However, it does not reflect the delays associated with the real estate for the 96th Street Station, Contract 1 time extension, the real estate challenges associated with the 72nd Street Station and the projected twelve month slip from MTA's internal review. At the February 7, 2008 Quarterly Meeting the MTACC was reminded that the schedules must be updated and submitted to the PMOC on a timely bases [Ref: SAS-A04-0208].*

Final Design is on schedule with interim submittals being made as planned.

Skanska, Schiavone and Shea (S3)'s Critical Path Method (CPM) schedule Update 7 (Baseline Revision 5) through December 2007 was approved by MTACC in February. Update 8 is in progress and will reflect the time extensions associated with the additional work orders (51 days). S3 completed the fragmentary network (fragnet) for the tunnel boring machine substation. Milestones associated with the design, Con Ed's approval of the design, procurement of materials, assembly, test and installation of the substation have been established. The fragnet will be integrated into Update 8.

Table 1 – Project Milestones

Activity / Event	MTACC's Schedule Information	
	Current	Previous Report
FTA Approval of Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS)	Apr. 8, 2004 (A)	Apr. 8, 2004 (A)
MTA Board Approval to Advertise First D/B Tunneling Contract	Apr. 28, 2004 (A)	Apr. 28, 2004 (A)

Activity / Event	MTACC's Schedule Information	
	Current	Previous Report
Notice of Availability of FEIS in Federal Register	May 7, 2004 (A)	May 7, 2004 (A)
Contractor Session for SAS and No.7 Line Extension	May 25, 2004 (A)	May 25, 2004(A)
MTACC In-House Risk Analysis for entire Phase 1	June 4, 2004 (A)	June 4, 2004 (A)
Advertise 1 st Design/Build Tunneling Contract	June 21, 2004 (A)	June 21, 2004 (A)
Value Engineering 2 nd Stage for Phase 1	June 7, 2004 (A)	June 7, 2004 (A)
Pre-Bid Meeting for 1 st D/B Tunneling Contract	July 20, 2004 (A)	July 20, 2004 (A)
Record of Decision (ROD)	July 8, 2004 (A)	July 8, 2004 (A)
Submit request to enter Final Design (Phase 1)	Sept. 13, 2004 (A)	Sept. 13, 2004 (A)
Revised request to enter Final Design (Phase 1)	Nov. 15, 2005 (A)	Nov. 15, 2005 (A)
PE for the Full Length of SAS Substantially Complete	Dec. 20, 2004 (A)	Dec. 20, 2004 (A)
Complete Extended Preliminary Engineering	Nov. 30, 2005(A)	Nov. 30, 2005(A)
Complete Final Preliminary Engineering	April 17, 2006(A)	April 17, 2006 (A)
Award Final Design for Phase 1	April 18, 2006 (A)	April 18, 2006 (A)
FTA Approval to Enter Final Design	April 18, 2006(A)	April 18, 2006 (A)
FTA Approval of Early Systems Work Agreement (Approval of ESWA also view as authorization to enter Construction)	Jan. 5, 2007 (A)	Jan. 5, 2007 (A)
Award/NTP Contract for Tunnel Work (1 st Contract)	Mar 20, 2007 (A)	Mar 20, 2007 (A)
Receipt of Full Funding Grant Agreement	Nov. 19, 2007 (A)	Nov. 19, 2007 (A)

D. DESIGN ENGINEERING STATUS

Design Management

MTACC awarded the Final Design (FD) of Phase 1 to DHA on April 18, 2006 at a value of approximately \$143.485 million (\$116.000 million FD and \$27.485 million construction phase design support). Design completion milestones have been incorporated into the project schedule. Working group meetings are ongoing to support the Final Design of the various contract packages. PMOC continues to monitor these meetings. Final Design is progressing to the completion dates as indicated below (MTACC's Integrated Schedule Rev 2.5.1 Update #17):

- Contract 1 –C 26002 FD was completed in June 2006 (TBM Tunnels)

- Contract 2A –C 26005 *FD was completed in February 2008*; Contract 2B –C 26010 FD scheduled completion date March 17, 2008 (96th Street Station)
- Contract 3 –C 26006 FD scheduled completion date December 17, 2008 (63rd Street Station)
- Contract 4A –C 26007 and 4B –C 26011 FD scheduled completion date October 24, 2008 (72nd Street Station). *During February 2008, it was decided to eliminate the third track at this station. The Design Team concluded that the risks involved in building a cavern wide enough to accommodate three tracks far outweighed the operational benefits.*
- Contract 5A –C 26008 and 5B –C 26012 FD scheduled completion date June 24, 2008 (86th Street Station)
- Contract 6 –C 26009 FD scheduled completion date July 29, 2008

The SAS team has been coordinating their designs with the local BRT project to avoid duplication of efforts. [Ref: SAS-A07-0208].

E. CONSTRUCTION STATUS

Construction Management

On May 31, 2007, MTACC awarded a contract to PB Americas to perform Construction Management services for Phase 1 of the SAS project. As Consultant Construction Manager (CCM), PB Americas will provide services for 91 months with a not-to-exceed value of \$80.9 million. PB is playing an active role coordinating activities among the various agencies, the contractor (S3) and the SAS Project Team. S3 concerns are being addressed with action items being assigned and tracked for closure. Working Group and Job Progress Meetings are being held bi-weekly to keep all parties informed. PB completed a Constructability/Peer Review of the 96th Street Station design (60% submittal) and subsequently issued its final report in December 2007. The SAS project team is evaluating the recommendations.

Contract 1: C-26002 (TBM Tunnels from 92nd to 63rd Streets)

Description: Contract 1 provides for the construction of tunnels between 92nd Street and the existing Lexington Ave. /63rd Street Station, using a Tunnel Boring Machine (TBM) and other mining methods. It includes all preparatory work for tunneling, such as utility relocation and construction of a launch box and two vertical shafts. It also includes preparatory work for the subsequent contracts, such as maintenance of electrical and other facilities.

Schedule: Notice-to Proceed was issued on March 20, 2007, with a July 20, 2010 completion date (duration 40 months). *Relocation of the utilities on the west side of 2nd Avenue was completed in February 2008 approximately 3 months behind schedule.* This is primarily due to the removal of unidentified utilities and a large sub-surface wall which were not on the plans. To recover the lost time, additional manpower has been added and weekend work scheduled. *Lessons Learned from the west side utility relocation will be applied in the relocation of utilities on the east side. MTACC will submit these Lessons Learned to the FTA [Ref: SAS-A05-0208].*

The MTACC approved the S3's Critical Path Method (CPM) schedule Update 7 (Baseline Revision 5) through December 2007. Update 8 is in progress and will reflect the three time

extensions associated with the additional work orders (51 days). S3 completed the fragnet for the tunnel boring machine substation and it will be integrated into Update 8.

Construction Progress: Contract 1 was awarded to S3 Tunnel Contractors (a joint venture of Skanska, Schiavone and Shea) on March 20, 2007 for \$337,025,000. Contractor efforts have focused on relocating utilities. *In February 2008, utility relocation on the west side of 2nd Avenue (91st to 95th Street) was completed. Traffic was diverted to the west side and relocation of the utilities on the east side was started. It is estimated that the east side work will take approximately four months to complete.*

Budget: The budget for Contract 1 is \$353,876,250. It is comprised of S3's bid price of \$337,025,000 plus a contingency of \$16,851,250. The contingency is 5% of the bid price and is allocated to cover additional work orders (AWOs). *Through February 2008, 21 AWOs have been approved for a total of \$1,411,800. The contract is within budget with an estimate at completion of \$338,426,800 (bid price plus approved AWOs).*

Quality: MTACC has approved S3's Quality Control System. S3's quality organization is actively conducting preparatory meetings, design process control reviews, testing and inspection of material, and audits of suppliers. MTACC's Quality Department is providing adequate oversight of S3's compliance to its Quality Control System. *The PMOC is pleased with the team work of the Quality Departments of MTACC, DHA and S3 in performing the QA/QC functions.*

Safety: S3 has a proactive safety organization. Toolbox meetings are held to acquaint personnel with the safety requirements. S3 safety personnel and the OCIP representative continue to monitor the site for compliance. Violations are corrected immediately. The public is kept abreast of the activity in the work area by message boards. *Recordable incidents and lost time accidents continue below the national averages. The Contractor's housekeeping of sidewalks and intersections is on-going to prevent any trip and fall incidents. No lost time incidents were reported during the February 2008 time frame.*

Contract 2: (96th Street Station)

Description: This contract provides for the construction of a new station at 96th Street and 2nd Avenue. The repackaging of this contract reallocates the scope of work into two separate packages (Contract 2A –C 26005 Station Structure and Heavy Civil, and Contract 2B –C 26010 Station Finishes & MEP). Contract 2A's scope of work will include construction of the station structure, the station entrances, mezzanines, platforms, ancillary buildings, ventilation plants, and modification of the existing tunnel section between 96th Street and 105th Street. Contract 2B's scope of work will include the construction of the station architectural finishes and mechanical, electrical and plumbing (MEP) elements. It also provides for the infrastructure (structure, finishes, power, and MEP requirements) for the Systems Contract (Contract 6: C-26009).

Schedule: Construction is forecasted to start July 31, 2008 and completed by August 21, 2013

Construction Progress: N/A

Budget: N/A

Quality: N/A

Safety: N/A

Contract 3: C-26006 (Rehabilitation of existing Lexington Avenue/63rd Street Station)

Description: This contract provides for the rehabilitation of the existing Lexington Avenue/63rd Street Station to accommodate the connection to the SAS Line. The scope of this contract consists of opening half of the station, on both the upper and lower levels, to full revenue service. New entrances are to be added at the 3rd Avenue end of the station. Employee facilities and all MEP facilities are to be added and rehabilitated to support both the existing “F” and new “Q” Line services. It also provides for the infrastructure (structure, finishes, power, and MEP requirements) for the Systems Contract (Contract 6: C-26009). Contract 3’s scope of work will also include the mining of tunnels G3 and G4 from the 63rd Street Station to the 72nd Street Station.

Schedule: Construction forecasted to start June 2, 2010 and be completed by July 6, 2012

Construction Progress: N/A

Budget: N/A

Quality: N/A

Safety: N/A

Contract 4: (72nd Street Station)

Description: This contract provides for the construction of a new station at 72nd Street and 2nd Avenue. The repackaging of this contract reallocates the scope of work into two separate packages (Contract 4A –C 26007 Station Cavern and Heavy Civil, and Contract 4B –C 26011 Station Finishes and MEP). Contract 4A’s scope of work will include excavation for a three track cavern, construction of the station structure, the station entrances, mezzanines, platforms, ancillary buildings and ventilation plants. Contract 4B’s scope of work will include the construction of the station architectural finishes and MEP elements. It also provides for the infrastructure (structure, finishes, power, and MEP requirements) for the Systems Contract (Contract 6: C-26009).

Schedule: Construction forecasted to start April 21, 2009 and be completed by November 14, 2013

Construction Progress: N/A

Budget: N/A

Quality: N/A

Safety: N/A

Contract 5: (86th Street Station)

Description: This contract provides for the construction of a new station at 86th Street and 2nd Avenue. The repackaging of this contract reallocates the scope of work into two separate packages (Contract 5A –C 26008 Stations Structure and Heavy Civil, and Contract 5B –C 26012 Station Finishes & MEP). Contract 5A’s scope of work include excavating the station cavern, construction of the station’s structure, entrances, mezzanines, platforms, ancillary buildings and ventilation plants. Contract 5B’s scope of work will include the construction of the station architectural finishes and MEP elements. It also provides for the infrastructure (structure, finishes, power, and MEP requirements) for the Systems Contract (Contract 6: C-26009).

Schedule: Construction forecasted to start December 22, 2008 and be completed by October 22, 2013

Construction Progress: N/A

Budget: N/A

Quality: N/A

Safety: N/A

Contract 6: C-26009 (Systems Contract –Track, Signals, Power and Communication)

Description: This contract provides for the installation of all of the systems equipment, integration of the systems that link the new stations to each other and to the existing systems, and the commissioning of the systems for the revenue operation of the new SAS Line.

Schedule: Construction forecasted to start January 29, 2009 and be completed by April 1, 2014

Construction Progress:

Budget: N/A

Quality: N/A

Safety: N/A

F. PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACTING ACTIVITIES

Contract Packaging Plan: The scope of work associated with Phase 1 of the SAS Project was initially defined in 6 Construction Packages (contracts). The scope was subsequently reallocated into 9 contracts as enumerated above. MTACC took this action because contractors were having difficulty getting bonding on contracts valued greater than \$400 million. Contracts 2, 4 and 5 exceeded the \$400 million level. *In February 2008, the PMOC was informed that MTACC was investigating the feasibility of reallocating the project scope into additional construction packages (value not to exceed \$300 million). The PMOC has expressed its concerns regarding the additional coordination required as more contractors are brought on board, the number of contractors who would be required to work in the limited space, and the possibility of additional claims if work areas are not available for follow-on contractors when scheduled. [Ref: SAS-A06-0208].*

Property Acquisition and Real Estate: MTA Real Estate is handling all real estate matters related to the SAS project and is responsible for acquiring the real estate interests needed for the project. MTA's real estate acquisition process is addressed in the Real Estate Acquisition Management Plan (RAMP). The initial PMOC review determined that the RAMP did not comply with the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act (Uniform Act). MTACC submitted an updated RAMP during the last week of May 2007.

Following this update, FTA Real Estate met with MTA's Real Estate group to review lessons learned on the Fulton Street Transit Center project, which utilized the same process as the SAS project. The FTA sent MTA a list of the required additions in an August 13, 2007 letter. The MTA incorporated the comments into the RAMP and resubmitted it to the FTA in October 2007. The PMOC reviewed the Plan and recommended a few changes. A meeting on the lessons learned on the Fulton project was held on November 1, 2007. As a result, the SAS RAMP was revised and submitted. The FTA gave conditional approval of the RAMP on November 15, 2007. Of concern were the issues of Just Compensation and Fixtures. Currently, the MTA and FTA are working to finalize the language of the RAMP at which time it will be submitted for full approval. *Approval is anticipated in March 2008. MTA will provide the FTA with their Rent Stabilization policy. [Ref: SAS-A01-1007].*

The MTA has retained a Consultant, O. R. Colan, to assist with relocating residential and commercial tenants. All Contract 1 properties have been acquired. The PMOC is concerned that the real estate acquisition process is taking longer than anticipated and the properties needed for the 96th Street Station will be six months late. MTA is rethinking its position of not awarding a construction contract until all the properties have been acquired. *At the February 7, 2008 Quarterly Meeting, MTACC accepted an action item to assess the strategy options regarding the possibility of awarding a portion of the 96th Street Station contract (C-26005) due to the anticipated six month delay in the acquisition of the required properties [Ref: SAS-A03-0208]. MTA Real Estate was also reminded that all future appraisals exceeding the FTA threshold must be submitted to FTA Regional Office 2 [Ref: SAS-A09-0208]. At the Quarterly Meeting FTA was informed that two challenges were filed (State and Federal courts) with regards to the proposed relocation of entrance 3 of the 72nd Street Station. The challenge filed in Federal Court is under NEPA and names FTA, MTA, and DHA. The FEIS identified the location of entrance 3 within a building; the proposed location is on the sidewalk of the northeast corner of 72nd Street. MTA's Real Estate will coordinate efforts with legal representatives of FTA Region 2 to resolve this issue [Ref: SAS-A02-0208].*

Rolling Stock: Activity is not schedule to start until 2010.

G. INTERAGENCY AND MASTER UTILITY PLANNING

Interagency and master utility planning is progressing as defined in Section 12 of the PMP. During Final Design coordination with utility providers to develop detailed plans for facility rearrangements and integration of these plans into the construction contract documents is ongoing. Work orders are being issued to secure the city's assistance to the project in the areas of public works engineering, and traffic engineering.

Among the major New York City agencies that will interface with the project are: New York City Department of City Planning (NYCDCP); New York City Fire Department (FDNY); New

York City Department of Transportation (NYCDOT); New York City Medical Examiner; and New York City Department of Environmental Protection (NYCDEP).

H. SYSTEM SAFETY AND SECURITY

On November 15, 2007, the FTA approved the SAS Safety and Security Management Plan (SSMP), noting however, that there was a vacancy in the Director of System Safety and Security position. As of January 31, 2008, MTACC has not hired a Director of System Safety and Security although they have interviewed various candidates. It appears that the level of compensation is the issue which is preventing this position from being filled. The SSMP is intended to provide an effective management process that applies the system safety and security discipline throughout design, construction, start-up, and operations and maintenance. The SSMP establishes the framework that the SAS Project will use to reduce hazards and vulnerabilities to the criteria specified in contract documents, as determined by MTACC.

I. RISK ASSESSMENT AND RISK MITIGATION

The SAS Project Team and the FTA's Risk Assessment Team have worked effectively in addressing issues, which could impact the success of the project. They have developed a Risk Management Program through various workshops and mutual cooperation. The PMOC has documented the efforts of the Risk Assessment Team in various draft Spot Reports. On June 6, 2007 the PMOC forwarded the reports to FTA Headquarters for review. The PMOC will monitor the implementation of the Risk Management Program as the project progresses through the design, construction and test phases. The MTACC has identified and documented the risk mitigation initiatives in a scoping document for incorporation into the PMP. This document was reviewed by the PMOC and FTA with all concerns being resolved. *The MTACC updated the PMP in February 2008, and sent an informal draft to the PMOC. A formal submission is anticipated in March 2008.*

J. QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL

MTACC's Quality Manager for Second Avenue has implemented a Total Quality approach for monitoring the Quality Control and Quality Assurance activities on the Project. MTACC Quality Personnel audit both the Designer's and CCM's Quality programs; then the three groups (MTACC, Designer & CCM) audit the Contractor's, Subcontractor's and Suppliers' Quality programs. In addition, Contract 1's Quality Manager has a very ambitious Quality program that is scheduled 6 weeks into the future and lists the audits and inspections to be performed. The inspections follow the schedule of work to be performed in those 6 weeks. Materials being delivered for the work tasks are inspected prior to the work beginning and the quality of the work being performed is audited/inspected as it is being done. The status of Non-Conformance Notices and the responsibility to perform corrective actions is distributed monthly. The Quality Program is definitely proactive and doing proper oversight.

K. COMMUNITY RELATIONS

As part of its community relations program, MTACC continues to conduct extensive public and community outreach. They have placed message boards in the construction area to show the project's status and have posted a three week look ahead of construction activities and traffic updates on the SAS website. Interested members of the public can join the SAS mailing list, call the SAS Hotline (24 hours/7 days a week), send e-mails and attend the

various community meetings. *The names of the stores have been placed on the traffic barriers on the east side of 2nd Avenue from 91st to 95th Street. This will help customers locate a specific store and was in response to store owner's concerns. The SAS team will be checking the Green Stickers on the S3 equipment to verify that noise levels are being monitored. [Ref: SAS-A08-0208].*

TABLE 2 - COST AND SCHEDULE SUMMARY

Project	Cost (in Millions)					Schedule						
	Original Budget	Latest Estimate at Completion (EAC)	Percent (%) Difference (+ or -)**	Spent Through 2/29/08 (Funding Report)	Percent (%) Complete Planned Actual	Award/NTP Date	Original Completion Date*	Actual Forecasted Completion	Original Duration (Months)	Duration Percent (%) Difference (+ or -)**	Percent (%) Complete	
Contract 1 - Tunnel Boring S3 Joint Venture (Skanska/Schiavone/Shea)	\$337,025,000	\$337,025,000		\$52,832,980		15.7%	3/20/2007	7/20/2010	7/20/2010	40 months	N/A	
Contract 2 - 96th Street Station Name of Contractor	N/A											
Contract 3 - 63rd Street Station Name of Contractor	N/A											
Contract 4 - 72nd Street Station Name of Contractor	N/A											
Contract 5 - 86th Street Station Name of Contractor	N/A											
Contract 6 - Track and Systems Name of Contractor	N/A											
Contracts to Be Bid	\$2,231,975,000	\$2,231,975,000										
OCIP (Insurance)	\$160,000,000	\$160,000,000		\$70,168,131		43.9%						
TA Labor	\$28,000,000	\$28,000,000										
Engrg. Force Account	\$42,000,000	\$42,000,000		\$578,313		1.4%						
3rd Party Reimbursement	\$58,000,000	\$58,000,000		\$932,377		1.6%						
Artwork Allowance	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000										
Preliminary Engr. & EIS	240,814,507	240,814,507		236,683,519		98.3%						
Final Design	\$169,185,493	\$169,185,493		\$78,913,274		46.6%	4/18/2006					
Construction Mgmt.	\$86,000,000	\$86,000,000		\$1,654,073		1.9%	5/31/2007					
Rolling Stock	\$157,000,000	\$157,000,000										
Property Acquisition	\$245,000,000	\$245,000,000		\$29,366,492		12.0%						
Contingency	\$129,000,000	\$129,000,000		\$1,411,800		1.1%						
Project Reserve												
Executive Reserve	\$160,000,000	\$160,000,000										
Subtotal	4,050,000,000	4,050,000,000		472,540,959		11.7%						
Financing	816,614,000	816,660,000										
Totals	4,866,614,000	4,866,660,000		472,540,959		9.7%						

Attachment B - Summary of Concerns and Recommendations

The status of PMO Contractor Concerns and Recommendations is shown in the following summary chart.

Note: Items marked with a “C” in the “PMO Contractor Status” column will be dropped from future reports.

Key Item

Subtask 11A.XX **CLIN 0002** – Technical Capacity Review

Subtask 12A.XX **CLIN 0003** - Monitor Project Development and Implementation

Legend

Priority (Pr)	Category (Cat.)	Grantee Action (D A I)	PMO Contractor Status
1 – Most Critical	S1 – Scope	D – Remedial Action Developed	R – Review On-going
2 – Critical	S2 – Schedule	A – Remedial Action Approved	C – Completed – No further review required
3 – Least Critical	S3 – Safety/Security	I – Action Implemented	
	B – Budget	Y – Yes	
	Q – Quality	N – No	

Attachment B -Summary of Concerns and Recommendations

Pr	Item	Identification	Cat	Nature of Concern	PMO Recommendation	Grantee Action			Status	Status
						D	A	I		

There are no PMOC Concerns and Recommendations for SAS that are not already shown in the Action Items Table.